



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/978,414	10/16/2001	Naomi Nakao	G30-001	4506	
759	90 10/03/2003		EXAMINER		
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C.			LANDREM, KAMRIN R		
714 Colorado A Bridgeport, CT	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
F	09/978,414	NAKAO, NAOMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kamrin R. Landrem	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to really within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) M	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timety. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n			
Status	. 00 0000					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>July</u>	•					
	nis action is non-final.		•.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 25-29</u> is/are pending in the	• •					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	•				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,10 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 6-8 and 28 is/are objected to.	1 10					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c Application Papers	or election requirement.	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		v the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		,				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.(	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, .					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage ).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		tion)			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	•					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/978,414

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 11-24 have been cancelled leaving amended claims 1-10 and new claims 25-29 pending for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ledergerber (USPN 6,187,043).

With regards to amended Claim 1, Ledergerber does disclose in Figure 25 a prosthesis comprising a body member made of biocompatible material, the body member have a plurality of inflatable chambers 144,148. Chamber 148 is positioned on the upper side of the breast relative to chambers 144 positioned on the lower portion of the breast. Chambers 144 are differently shaped than chamber 148. In Figure 18 Ledergerber discloses fluid guide element 98 enabling differential filling of each of said chambers (9:59-10:20).

## Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/978,414

J

Art Unit: 3738

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ledergerber in view of Chaglassian (USPN 4,773,909).

As discussed above, Ledergerber disclose the prosthesis as claimed however Ledergerber fails to disclose a valve that enables fluid communication between adjacent chambers. With reference to Figure 2, Chaglassian teaches a body member 1 composed of a biocompatible material having a plurality of inflatable chambers 5,6,7 and a plurality of fluid guide elements 2,3,4 connected to chambers 5,6,7 thereby enabling differential filling of said chambers with a fluid. Valve 12 is disposed between chamber 5 and 6 to enable transfer of fluid between chambers 5 and 6 (6:32-46). Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated valves between adjacent chambers as taught by Chaglassian into the prosthesis as disclosed by Ledergerber in order to allow fluid communication and differential filling between adjacent chambers. Chaglassian fails to disclose a plurality of valves however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a plurality of valves to interconnect a plurality of chambers to enable fluid communication and differential filling of all chambers since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art (see MPEP 2144.04)

Application/Control Number: 09/978,414

Art Unit: 3738

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 28<sup>th</sup> with regards to 102(e) rejection of claims 1-5 and 9 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Ledergerber does disclose the prosthesis as claimed (see body of rejection).

Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 29 allowed.

ر

Claims 6,7,8, and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamrin R. Landrem whose telephone number is 703-305-8061. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Kamrin Landrem Examiner AU 3738

KRL

David J. lecibila Primony Examinar